

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/824,931	04/03/2001	Michael P. Mittmann	3381	2137	
22886 7.	590 09/09/2003				
AFFYMETRIX, INC ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3380 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			EXAMINER		
			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
SANTA CLARA, CA 95051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/824,931	MITTMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ardin Marschel	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MA	ONITH(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FINS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 455 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has be	en received.				
Attachment(s)	,	55 .=0 6/10/01 /2 /.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,931

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 27, and 28; drawn to a method, system, or computer program product for synthesizing polymers on a substrate wherein sequential alignment of two or more of a plurality of reticles is performed a associated with a synthesis area, classified in classes 530 and 536, subclasses 333 and 25.3, respectively.
- II. Claims 17-22, drawn to an irradiation mask and a method for its manufacture, classified in class 430, subclass 5.
- III. Claims 23-26 and 42-48, drawn to a probe array, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claims 29-41 and 49-51, drawn to a method, system, or computer program product for synthesizing polymers on a substrate wherein a mask is first aligned regarding a first reticle area and then re-aligned for a second reticle area as associated with a synthesis area classified in classes 530 and 536, subclasses 333 and 25.3, respectively.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The inventions of Groups I, III, and IV versus II are related as products or processes of use versus a product and its manufacture, but directed to distinct inventions. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with

Application/Control Number: 09/824,931

Art Unit: 1631

another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the inventions if Groups I, III, and IV versus Group II have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects in that the mask and its corresponding manufacturing method are directed to radiation control per se which may be utilized in multiple processes for irradiation of materials for treatments involving a wide variety of materials and as well as probe array synthesis as claimed in Groups I, III, and IV.

The inventions of Groups I and IV versus III are related as distinct processes of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the probe array may be made by overall masking processes wherein the mask contains the overall pattern for synthesis on the substrate without the specific sequential one or two reticle at a time alignment methods as in Groups I and IV. The nature of the alignment practice between Groups I and IV also make these distinct processes from each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 5, 2003